HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. GOPAL REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.V.SEETHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION No.25615, 25436 & 26809 OF 2007

DATE: 20.12.2007

WRIT PETITION No.25615 OF 2007

Between:
S.R.Bhagyalakshmi

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Station House Officer,
I Town Police Station, Guntakal,
Ananthapur District & another.

.....RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION No.25436 OF 2007

Between:
S.R.Rushikesh

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Station House Officer,
I Town Police Station, Guntakal,
Ananthapur District & Others.

.....RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION No.26809 OF 2007

Between:
S.R.Bhagyalakshmi

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Superintendent of Police,
Kadapa District, Kadapa & another.

.....RESPONDENTS

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. GOPAL REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.V.SEETHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION Nos.25615, 25436 & 26809 OF 2007

COMMON ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice A.Gopal Reddy)

Since these three writ petitions pertain to illegal detention of one S.R.Rangaiah Chary, filed by his wife and son and also on the basis of telegram sent by his wife dated 29.11.2007 to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, they are heard together and disposed of by this common order.

The counter affidavit filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Guntakal discloses that on the complaint lodged by one Vallamkondu Mallikarjuna, a case in Crime No.163 of 2006 was registered for the offences under Sections 457 and 380 IPC on the file of Proddutur I-Town Police Station, wherein alleged detenu is shown as Accused No.3. In connection with the said crime, the alleged detenu was arrested along with other accused on 1.12.2007 and the stolen property was recovered from their possession. After recording the confessional statement of the alleged detenu and other accused and after effecting their arrest, they were handed over to S.H.O. Proddutur I-Town Police Station on the same day and on 2.12.2007 they were produced before the First Additional Munsif Magistrate, Proddutur. On such production, the learned Magistrate was pleased to remand the alleged detenu to judicial custody and accordingly he was lodged at Sub-Jail, Proddutur.

In view of the facts and circumstances explained in the counter affidavit, the allegation that the alleged detenu was illegally detained by the police does not merit acceptance.

The writ petitions are accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

A. GOPAL REDDY, J.

_____

20th DECEMBER, 2007 G.V.
SEETHAPATHY, J.
Tsr.

-